Because chronic health problems typically increase as people age, chronic illness often is perceived primarily as a problem of the elderly. Yet, chronic illness affects more than a third of working-age Americans (18 to 64). In 1999, 37 percent of nonelderly adults, or about 60 million working-age Americans, reported seeing a doctor in the past two years for at least one chronic condition, according to HSC’s Community Tracking Study (CTS) Household Survey (see Methodology, page 2).

Nonelderly adults with chronic conditions are more likely to have health insurance than people without chronic conditions—88 percent vs. 81 percent in 1999. At that time, 71 percent of working-age adults with chronic conditions were privately insured; 12 percent were uninsured; 14 percent were covered by Medicare and/or Medicaid; and the remainder had other coverage such as military insurance.

Chronic conditions can range from mild to severe. In some cases, people with chronic conditions experience few limitations, while others cannot perform the normal tasks of daily living without help. Good access to preventive and ongoing medical care for people with chronic conditions can alleviate pain and suffering, improve productivity and minimize future health problems and related costs.

For example, people with diabetes who do not receive routine preventive care, including eye exams, foot exams, glucose screenings, cholesterol screenings and blood pressure measurements, are at higher risk for blindness, kidney failure and amputations. And, health insurance coverage plays an important and well-documented role in improving access to medical care.

Uninsured with Chronic Conditions in Worse Health

Conventional wisdom holds that the uninsured tend to have better health than the insured, and CTS findings.
show that fewer of the uninsured reported a chronic condition than did working-age adults with private insurance—27 percent vs. 35 percent.\(^3\) Regardless of insurance status, people with chronic conditions reported an average of 1.6 conditions. But, the uninsured with chronic conditions reported much worse health and significantly more severe physical limitations than privately insured people with chronic conditions (see Figure 1).

Nearly 40 percent of the uninsured with chronic conditions indicated they were in fair or poor health, compared to less than 20 percent of the privately insured with chronic conditions. In addition, the uninsured were twice as likely to report having physical limitations that significantly restricted their ability to perform moderate activities such as moving a table or pushing a vacuum cleaner.

**Uninsured Far Less Likely to Get Needed Care**

Uninsured people with chronic conditions are particularly at risk for not obtaining
medical care when needed (see Table 1). More than a quarter said they hadn’t obtained needed medical care at least once in the past year, compared to less than 10 percent of the privately insured with chronic conditions. And, more than half of the uninsured with chronic conditions delayed care in the past year, while only about a quarter of those with private insurance postponed care. This was true even after adjusting for health status.4

The negative effects of being uninsured are substantially greater for working-age adults with chronic conditions than for those without any such conditions. The uninsured with chronic conditions were 3.3 times more likely not to obtain needed medical care than the privately insured, while the uninsured without chronic conditions were 2.7 times more likely not to obtain needed care—a 20 percent differential. The differential for delaying care was even greater—more than 35 percent.

**Major Barrier to Care: Cost**

The uninsured with chronic conditions tend to have much lower incomes, and cost is the major barrier to care for the uninsured, much more so than for the privately insured with chronic conditions. Sixty-three percent of the uninsured with chronic conditions had family incomes of less than 200 percent of poverty, compared to 18 percent of the privately insured with chronic conditions.

The vast majority of the uninsured with chronic conditions who delayed or did not get needed care in the previous year did so because of cost concerns (see Figure 2). In contrast, less than half of the privately insured with chronic conditions who delayed care did so because of cost issues.

**Health Care Services Received**

In spite of difficulties getting needed care in a timely manner, most people with chronic illnesses do receive some care (see Table 2). While it is unclear that all treatment received by the privately insured with chronic conditions is appropriate and needed—or that all of the care needed is provided or coordinated properly—it is clear that the uninsured with chronic conditions receive significantly less medical care, even after adjustments for health status.

For example, almost 25 percent of uninsured people with chronic conditions did not see a doctor at least once in the past year, compared to less than 10 percent of the privately insured. The uninsured reported an average of four doctor visits, about 30 percent fewer than those with private insurance. While the two groups had about equal numbers of hospital admissions on average, the uninsured underwent about half the number of surgeries, even after adjusting for health status, suggesting the uninsured with chronic illnesses may receive less intensive medical intervention.

Lack of health insurance likely contributes to inappropriate use of emergency departments by people with chronic conditions, resulting in higher costs and possible capacity problems for the health care system. Compared to the privately insured, the uninsured with chronic conditions reported almost twice the number of emergency room visits.

**Policy Implications**

People with chronic conditions are more likely to be insured, presumably because they value and need health insurance more than people without ongoing health problems. Many people with chronic conditions, however, cannot obtain affordable coverage.

Overall, the uninsured with chronic conditions face more serious health problems and more barriers to needed care than insured people with chronic conditions.

The long-term health implications of failing to receive preventive and ongoing medical care can be serious for people with chronic conditions. Indirect costs of chronic illness—lost workdays and sick pay—are considerable, and neglected care now may mean additional future productivity losses and costs to the economy.

The added costs to the health care system are both immediate and long-term. Providing nonurgent care in emergency departments is more expensive than providing care in more appropriate sites. The relatively high use of emergency departments by the uninsured with chronic conditions can contribute to hospital capacity constraints that sometimes prompt emer-
Policy makers should consider and assess the impact of various coverage proposals on low-income, uninsured people with chronic conditions.

Emergency departments to divert ambulances to other hospitals. Moreover, difficulties obtaining care now may result in higher long-term demand for more expensive services if the lack of treatment results in more serious health problems for those with chronic conditions.

Policy makers are debating different proposals to expand health insurance coverage, but none focuses specifically on the segment of the uninsured population with chronic conditions. Yet, because of their medical needs, people with chronic illnesses are precisely the ones who can benefit most from insurance coverage—especially if they also have low incomes.

Given the significant human and economic costs of chronic illness, policy makers should consider and assess the impact of various coverage proposals on low-income, uninsured people with chronic conditions. The bottom line: health insurance counts for this vulnerable group.

### Table 2: Health Service Utilization by People with Chronic Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Uninsured</th>
<th>Privately Insured</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percent with at least one doctor visit</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of doctor visits (mean)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ER visits (mean)</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of ER visits without hospital admission (mean)</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of hospital admissions, excluding childbirth (mean)*</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of surgeries (inpatient and outpatient) (mean)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Uninsured and privately insured not significantly different from each other.


### Notes

1. Tu, Ha T., and Marie C. Reed, Options for Expanding Health Insurance for People with Chronic Conditions, Issue Brief No. 50, Center for Studying Health System Change (February 2002).


3. All comparisons cited are statistically significant at p<.001 unless otherwise noted.

4. All CTS estimates are unadjusted. Estimates for the uninsured and privately insured, adjusted for health status, also were calculated and found to be essentially the same as unadjusted estimates.